

Children's social and emotional behavior: Role of maternal emotion regulation, psychopathological symptomatology, and family functioning

Sónia Carvalho Simões * / Filipa Inácio * / Helena Espírito-Santo **

* Instituto Superior Miguel Torga, Coimbra, Portugal; ** Instituto Superior Miguel Torga, Coimbra, Portugal / Centro de Investigação do Núcleo de Estudos e Intervenção Cognitivo-Comportamental, Faculdade de Psicologia e de Ciências da Educação, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Abstract: Research has demonstrated that maternal emotion regulation strategies (self-criticism and self-compassion), mental health, and family functioning impacts child functioning. Due to the paucity of studies, we aimed to analyze: (1) the associations between maternal emotion regulation strategies, psychopathological symptomatology, family functioning, and mother's perceived child social and emotional behavior (SEB); (2) the differences in mother's perceived child SEB, according to maternal, child and family characteristics; (3) the predictive role of maternal emotion regulation in mother's perceived child SEB. A sample of 431 mothers (25-59 years), with children aged 4-17 years (55.5% male), answered the *Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire*, the *Systemic Clinical Outcome and Routine Evaluation*, the *Brief Symptom Inventory*, the *Forms of Self-Criticizing/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale*, and the *Self-Compassion Scale*. The results showed positive associations between self-criticism, maternal psychopathological symptomatology, worse family functioning and child social and emotional problems, as well between maternal self-compassion and child prosocial behaviors. Mothers who reported low income, elementary school education, a history of psychiatric illness and whose children had early behavior changes perceived more social and emotional difficulties in their children. Maternal self-criticism was the main predictor of child social and emotional difficulties. In conclusion, maternal emotion regulation strategies and psychopathological symptomatology are associated with family functioning and child SEB.

Keywords: Children's social and emotional behavior, Strengths and difficulties, Mothers, Family functioning, Mental health, Self-compassion, Self-criticism.

Introduction

Worldwide, anxiety, depression, disruptive and oppositional behaviors are amongst the most common social and emotional problems in childhood and adolescence (Erskine et al., 2016; Fosco et al., 2019), with prevalence ranging from 2.6% (Polanczyk et al., 2015) to 6.7% (Erskine et al., 2016). Among other factors, several studies have identified family functioning, parental (e.g., education, socioeconomic status, mental health, emotion-regulation) and child characteristics (e.g., age group and gender) as protective or risk factors for children's social and emotional outcomes (Berryhill et al., 2018; Lee & McLanahan, 2015; Shelton & Harold, 2008; Sijtsema et al., 2014).

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to: Helena Espírito-Santo, Instituto Superior Miguel Torga, Largo da Cruz de Celas nº 1, 3000-132 Coimbra, Portugal. E-mail: helenum@gmail.com

Family functioning and child social and emotional behavior

According to the family system framework, the family is an emotional system with interdependent elements, which undergoes constant changes across various developmental stages that require adjustment and reorganization (Minuchin, 1979). Family functioning encompasses *difficulties* (e.g., family reconfiguration, after parental divorce), *strengths* (e.g., skills, resilience, interpersonal, and social support), and *communication* (e.g., the connection of the whole system's coexistence, being based on equality or difference) (Minuchin, 1979; Smock & Swartz, 2020; Stratton et al., 2010). Sources of stress may lead to difficulties in family functioning and can be a risk factor for child social and emotional functioning (Friesen et al., 2017). Strengths and communication are essential to avoid the adverse outcomes of stress (Minuchin, 1979) for a child's social and emotional functioning (Agha et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2018; Fosco et al., 2019; Sijtsema et al., 2014).

Maternal emotion regulation, maternal psychopathology, and child social and emotional functioning

The onset and the later development of children's social and emotional problems have been positively associated with maternal psychopathology (Agha et al., 2017; Goergen et al., 2018), by exposing children to maladaptive behaviors (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999). Within the framework of third-wave cognitive-behavioral approaches, maternal emotion self-regulation may impact directly and indirectly (through maternal mental health) child SEB. Defined as a negative self-assessment and self-judgment of perceived failures and minor or inexistent errors (Castilho & Pinto-Gouveia, 2011b), self-criticism activates dominance-subordination strategies in reaction to social threat and predisposes individuals to negative emotions and psychopathology (Castilho et al., 2015), including depressive symptomatology and anxiety (Werner et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Research has found that maternal self-criticism is associated with internalizing and externalizing symptoms in children (Beebe & Lachmann, 2017; Casalin et al., 2014). Several authors (e.g., Werner et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019) have associated maternal self-criticism with adverse child outcomes, partly due to the link between self-criticism and psychopathology.

In contrast, self-compassion is an openness to oneself that encompasses three basic components: self-kindness (warmth and understanding), human condition (understanding own experiences of suffering as part of a larger human experience, rather than isolating or separating them), and mindfulness (being sensitive to the present moment, accepting rather than judging) (Neff & Davidson, 2016). These basic components have a protective role against the development and maintenance of psychopathology (Neff, 2003; Neff & McGehee, 2010). Studies have shown that maternal self-compassion is associated with better quality of life (Moreira et al., 2015; Neff and McGehee, 2010) and self-compassion (Carbonneau et al., 2020; Neff & McGehee, 2010) in children.

Present study

Literature on the impact of maternal emotion self-regulation strategies on child SEB is limited. Furthermore, family structures have increased in complexity in recent decades and maternal presence is predominant in most family configurations (Delgado & Wall, 2014).

Thus, the present study: (1) examined the associations between mother's perceived child SEB (problems and prosocial behavior [PSB]) and maternal reported self-criticism, self-compassion,

psychopathological symptomatology, and family functioning; (2) explored the differences in mothers' perceived child SEB, depending on maternal, child, and family variables; and (3) analyzed the predictive role of maternal reported self-criticism and self-compassion on mother's perceived child SEB. The following hypotheses were established: (1) mothers who perceive worse SEB among their children will also perceive more psychopathological symptomatology and self-criticism, less self-compassion, and worse family functioning; (2) mothers will perceive more child-related social and emotional difficulties if they have a personal history of psychiatric illness, less formal schooling, lower family income, and a non-nuclear family. Also, if their child is a young boy with early behavioral problems; (3) greater maternal self-criticism and lower maternal self-compassion will predict mother's perceived child SEB.

Methods

Participants

Participants were 431 Portuguese biological mothers, aged between 25-59 years ($M=39.37$; $SD=5.47$). Most mothers hold a university degree (52.7%) and a medium income (67.7%), were married/cohabiting (80.0%), lived in a nuclear family (76.1%), and had two children (46.2%). Only 8.1% of the mothers reported a history of psychiatric illness. Children were aged 7 to 17 years ($M=8.77$; $SD=3.87$; 4-5 years: 26.0%; 6-10 years: 42.0%, 11-14 years: 21.8%; 15-17 years: 10.2%). The percentage of children of each sex (boys: 55.5%) was almost comparable.

Measures

Beyond a sociodemographic form, mothers completed the following self-report questionnaires:

Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire – Parent's Version (SDQ; Goodman, 1997; Portuguese version: Fleitlich et al., 2005): This 25-item questionnaire assesses five dimensions, composed of five items each: emotional symptoms (ES), behavior problems (BP; e.g., "often lies or cheats"), hyperactivity/attention deficit H-ADD), difficulties in peer relationships (DPR), and prosocial behavior (PSB; e.g., "shares readily with other children, for example, toys, treats, pencils"). Each item is answered using a Likert scale, ranging from 0 (*Not True*) to 2 (*Very True*). The total score ranges from zero to 40 (more behavior problems) and excludes PSB. Cronbach's alpha was .76 for total difficulties in original studies (Smedje et al., 1999), .74 in the Portuguese population (Conceição & Carvalho, 2013), and .82 in this study. Additionally, the PSB subscale presented a Cronbach's alpha of .76 in this study.

Systemic Clinical Outcome and Routine Evaluation (SCORE-15; Stratton et al., 2010; Portuguese version: Vilaça et al., 2014) is a 15-item Likert questionnaire with five response options, ranging from 1 (*Describes us very well*) to 5 (*Describes us not at all*). It assesses family functioning and therapeutic change, according to three dimensions: family strengths (FS), family communication (FC), and family difficulties (FD). The total score ranges from one to five, and higher scores indicate worse family functioning. The Cronbach's alpha for the full scale was .89 for the original study (Stratton et al., 2010) and .84 for the Portuguese study (Vilaça et al., 2014). In our study, Cronbach's alpha was .84 for the total scale and .78, .77, and .76 for FS, FC, and FD, respectively.

Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI; Derogatis & Melisaratos, 1983; Portuguese version: Canavarro, 1999) is a 53-item questionnaire that assesses psychopathological symptomatology through nine dimensions (e.g., somatization, depression, anxiety) and three global indexes. Each item is answered, using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*Not at all*) to 4 (*Extremely*). In the present study, we used the Positive Symptom Distress Index (PSDI; an average of all reported symptoms) with scores over 1.7 suggestive of emotional disturbance (Canavarro, 1999). Cronbach's alpha of the nine dimensions ranged from .71-.85 in the original study (Derogatis & Melisaratos, 1983), .62-.80 in the Portuguese study (Canavarro, 1999), and .86-.75 in the present study.

Forms of Self-Criticizing/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale (FSCRS; Gilbert et al., 2004; Portuguese version: Castilho & Pinto-Gouveia, 2011a) is a 22-item questionnaire, answered using a 5-point Likert ranging from 0 (*I am not like this*) to 4 (*I am extremely like this*). This questionnaire assesses how individuals self-criticize and self-reassure themselves when things go wrong, using three subscales. In the present study, we used the sum of the inadequate self (10 items) and hated self (three items) subscales. The total score ranges from 0-52, with higher scores representing more self-criticism toward the self (Castilho & Pinto-Gouveia, 2011a). Cronbach's alphas were high in the original version (inadequate self: $\alpha=.90$; hated self: $\alpha=.86$). In the Portuguese version, Cronbach's alphas were .89 for inadequate self and .62 for hated self. In the present study, Cronbach's alpha of the composite (inadequate self and hated self) was .88.

Self-Compassion Scale (SELFCFS; Neff, 2003; Portuguese version: Castilho & Pinto-Gouveia, 2011b) consists of 26 items, answered in a 5-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 – *Almost Never* to 5 – *Almost Always*). This questionnaire assesses self-compassion, using six subscales (self-kindness, self-judgment, common humanity, isolation, mindfulness, and over-identification). Higher total scores represent greater self-compassion. Cronbach's alpha for the total scale was .92 in the original version, .89 in the Portuguese adaptation (Castilho & Pinto-Gouveia, 2011b), and .91 in the present study.

Procedures

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Miguel Torga Institute of Higher Education (CE-P19-21). Inclusion criteria in the present study were being a biological mother of a child aged 4-17 years. Exclusion criteria were being an adoptive mother and non-Portuguese nationality. When mothers had more than one child aged 4-17 years, they were asked to complete the questionnaires considering the oldest one). A community-based recruitment strategy was used. Specifically, the link to the informed consent (explaining the research objectives and ethical procedures) and the online questionnaires (available in the Google Forms platform) were disseminated through social networks and by e-mail. Furthermore, 13% ($n=56$) of the participants were given hard copies of the written informed consent and questionnaires in a closed envelope, to ensure confidentiality. The questionnaires took approximately 15 minutes to answer and were available online for three months, from January to April 2019.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics (v.25). Parametric tests were used, since asymmetry and kurtosis values were below two and four. For the first aim, Pearson's correlations were calculated. With respect to the second aim, Student *t*-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's post-hoc tests were performed. For the third aim, hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed. Sample size, normality, linearity, multi-collinearity and

homoscedasticity assumptions were met. The inexistence of outliers (except for two cases) was also ensured. Mother, child, and family variables were entered as control variables at Step 1, maternal psychopathological symptomatology and family functioning at Step 2, and self-criticism, self-compassion as final predictors of children’s behavior problems (total SDQ) and of children’s pro-social behavior (PSB-SDQ).

Effect sizes were interpreted as: low/small ($r=.10-.29$, $d=0.20-0.49$); moderate/medium ($r=.30-.49$, $d=0.50-0.79$); and high/large ($r=.50-1$, $d=0.80-1.29$) (Cohen, 1988). Cohen’s eta square values were interpreted using the reference values of Espirito-Santo and Daniel (2018) and the respective Bonferroni adjustment (three groups: $p<.017$; four groups: $p<.0125$).

Results

Table 1 displays descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviations) and correlations of the study variables. As shown in Table 1, we found moderate correlations of: difficulties in peer-relationships (SDQ) with family functioning (total SCORE-15) ($r^2=10.9\%$); child total behavior problems (total SDQ) with self-criticism ($r^2=10.9\%$); family functioning with self-criticism ($r^2=14.4\%$) and self-compassion ($r^2=15.2\%$); family resources (SCORE-15) with self-criticism ($r^2=11.6\%$) and self-compassion ($r^2=12.3\%$); and family difficulties (FD-SCORE-15) with self-criticism ($r^2=9.6\%$) and self-compassion ($r^2=13.0\%$). Children’s prosocial behavior (PSB-SDQ) did not correlate significantly with maternal psychopathological symptomatology (PSDI-BSI).

Table 1

Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviations) and Pearson correlations between mother’s perceived child’s social and emotional behaviors, family functioning, maternal psychopathology, self-criticism and self-compassion

	Descriptives		Correlations						
			SCORE-15				BSI	FSCRS	SELFCS
			Total	FC	FR	FD	PSDI	Total	Total
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>							
SDQ Total	0.51	0.26	.26**	.18**	.22**	.26**	.28**	.33**	-.29**
ES	0.48	0.39	.18**	.01*	.12*	.18**	.24**	.16**	-.20**
BP	0.37	0.29	.23**	.17**	.27**	.24**	.15**	.27**	-.26**
H-ADD	0.84	0.50	.25**	.11*	.11*	.15**	.14**	.15**	-.18**
DPR	0.33	0.33	.33**	.12*	.15**	.17**	.25**	.18**	-.19**
PSB	1.66	0.38	-.22**	-.16**	-.25**	-.14**	-.08	-.21**	.17**
SCORE-15 Total	3.31	0.41	–	–	–	–	.14**	.38**	-.39**
FC	1.83	0.75	–	–	–	–	.11*	.29**	-.27**
FR	1.63	0.60	–	–	–	–	.11*	.34**	-.35**
FD	1.86	0.75	–	–	–	–	.13**	.31**	-.36**
PSDI-BSI	1.24	0.60	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
FSCRS Total	1.58	1.22	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
SELFCS Total	3.34	0.59	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Note. $N=431$. SCORE-15=Systemic Clinical Outcome and Routine Evaluation; SDQ=Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; ES=Emotional Symptoms; BP=Behavior Problems; H-ADD=Hyperactivity and Attention Deficit Difficulties; DPR=Difficulty in Peer Relations; PSB=Pro-Social Behavior; FC=Family Communication; FD=Family Difficulties; FR=Family Resources; FSCRS=Forms of Self-Criticizing/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale; SELFCS=Self-Compassion Scale; PSDI-BSI=Positive Symptom Distress Index of the Brief Symptom Inventory. Moderated correlations are highlighted in bold. * $p<.05$; ** $p<.01$.

Table 2 displays statistically significant differences in SQD scores, according to the mother, child and family characteristics. Tukey's post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction showed that mothers with low family income perceived that their children reported higher total SDQ, hyperactivity/attention deficit, and difficulties in peer relations. Mothers with elementary school education identified more problems in their children (total SDQ) than those with a college degree. Mothers with a history of psychiatric illness described more social and emotional problems (total SDQ, emotional problems, behavioral problems) and less prosocial behavior in their children than those who did not report such problems. We did not find any differences based on family structure (nuclear, monoparental and reconstructed).

Table 2

Differences in SDQ results according to the mothers, children and family characteristics

Family income														
SDQ	Low (n=107)		Average (n=292)				High (n=32)		F	η^2				
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
Total	0.58	0.26	0.49	0.26	0.39	0.25	8.04***	0.04						
H-ADD	0.93	0.51	0.82	0.48	0.63	0.54	4.55**	0.01						
DPR	0.41	0.38	0.32	0.34	0.20	0.22	5.27**	0.01						
Mother's educational qualifications														
SDQ	Elementary (n=33)		Secondary (n=99)		Undergraduate (n=227)		Master's/PhD (n=72)		F	η^2				
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
Total	0.64	0.29	0.52	0.25	0.48	0.24	0.49	0.30	3.83**	0.03				
Children's age group														
SDQ	4-5 (n=112)		6-10 (n=181)			11-14 (n=94)		15-17 (n=44)		F	η^2			
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
H-ADD	0.88	0.46	0.88	0.52	0.79	0.50	0.60	0.46	0.88	0.46	0.88	0.52	4.41**	0.03
DPR	0.42	0.28	0.38	0.37	0.31	0.35	0.31	0.33	0.42	0.28	0.38	0.37	3.60**	0.02
PSB	1.64	0.35	1.67	0.38	1.72	0.34	1.49	0.51	1.64	0.35	1.67	0.38	3.88**	0.03
Children's sex														
SDQ	Female (n=192)				Male (n=239)				t	d				
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
Total		0.46	0.24		0.54	0.27			-3.12***	0.31				
BP		0.32	0.25		0.42	0.32			3.81***	0.35				
H-ADD		0.72	0.44		0.93	0.52			-4.65***	0.44				
Children's early behavior														
SDQ	No Changes (n=31)				With changes (n=400)				t	d				
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
DPR		0.32	0.34		0.48	0.42			-1.99*	0.42				
Mother's history of psychiatric illness														
SDQ	Yes (n=35)				No (n=396)				t	d				
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD						
Total		0.69	0.31		0.49	0.25			3.65***	0.71				
ES		0.69	0.49		0.46	0.37			2.69**	0.62				
BP		0.53	0.36		0.36	0.28			2.63**	0.46				
PSB		1.53	0.46		1.67	0.37			-1.91*	0.37				

Note. N=431. SDQ=Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; BP=Behavior Problems; DPR=Difficulty in Peer Relations; ES=Emotional Symptoms; H-ADD=Hyperactivity and Attention Deficit Difficulties; PSB=Pro-Social Behavior. The highest values are highlighted in bold. Italic letters denote significant differences ($p < .017$ for 3 groups or $p < .0125$ for 4 groups) between pairwise comparisons. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

With respect to children's characteristics, mothers reported that children aged 4-5 and 6-10 years displayed more hyperactive and attention deficit behaviors than those aged 15-17 years. Compared with those aged 15-17 years, mothers perceived that children aged 4-5 years had more difficulties with peers, whereas children aged 11-14 had more prosocial behaviors. Boys were perceived as having more overall social and emotional problems, behavioral problems, and attention deficit hyperactivity than girls. Lastly, children with identified early-onset behavioral changes were perceived to have more difficulty in peer relationships than children with no identified early-onset changes.

Maternal self-regulation strategies as predictors of children's behavior problems and prosocial behavior

With respect to child's behavior problems, mother's reported family income, maternal education and child age (step 1, $F_{(2,423)}=6.04; p<.001$) only explained 4.1% of the variance. The introduction of mother's psychopathology and family functioning (step 2, $F_{(2,423)}=14.10; p<.001$) and the introduction of maternal reported self-criticism and self-compassion (step 3, $F_{(2,423)}=8.14; p<.001$, $R^2_{adjusted}=.16$) significantly increased the explained variance of the model. The only significant predictor in the final model was maternal self-criticism (Table 3).

Table 3

Multiple hierarchical regression results of children's socioemotional behavior

Variable	95% CI for B			SE B	β	R^2	ΔR^2
	B	LL	UL				
Children's behavior problems							
Step 3						0.174	0.032
Constant	0.56***	0.16	-0.08	0.00			
Income	-0.04	0.02	-0.05	0.01	-0.09		
Mother education	-0.02	0.01	-0.03	0.02	-0.06		
Child age	-0.01	0.01	0.03	0.12	-0.03		
Mother PSDI-BSI	0.07***	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.17***		
Family functioning (SCORE-15)	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.12		
Mother FSCRS	0.07**	0.02	-0.08	0.03	0.17**		
Mother SELFCS	-0.02	0.03	-0.08	0.00	-0.05		
Prosocial behavior							
Step 3						0.058	0.008
Constant	8.91***	6.52	11.29	1.21			
Income	-0.01	-0.31	0.29	0.15	-0.00		
Mother education	-0.07	-0.28	0.15	0.11	-0.03		
Child age	-0.10	-0.30	0.09	0.10	-0.05		
Mother PSDI-BSI	-0.03	-0.36	0.30	0.17	-0.01		
Family functioning (SCORE-15)	-0.59***	-0.94	-0.25	0.18	-0.18***		
Mother FSCRS	-0.01	-0.37	0.35	0.18	-0.00		
Mother SELFCS	0.32	-0.09	0.74	0.21	0.10		

Note. CI=confidence interval; LL=lower limit; UL=upper limit; SCORE-15=Systemic Clinical Outcome and Routine Evaluation; FSCRS=Forms of Self-Criticizing/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale; SELFCS=Self-Compassion Scale; PSDI-BSI=Positive Symptom Distress Index of the Brief Symptom Inventory. * $p<.05$; ** $p<.01$; *** $p<.001$.

Regarding prosocial behavior, a mother's reported family income, maternal education and child's age (step 1, $F_{(2,425)}=0.50; p>.05$) only explained 0.4% of the variance. After including the maternal psychopathological symptomatology and family functioning (step 2, $F_{(2,425)}=4.52$;

$p < .001$) and self-criticism and self-compassion (step 3, $F_{(2,423)} = 1.72$; $p = .180$, $R^2_{\text{adjusted}} = 0.04$), none of the latter variables made a significant contribution to the final model (Table 3).

Discussion

In line with our first hypothesis, the results showed that mothers who have less psychopathological symptomatology, are less self-critical and more self-compassionate perceived fewer behavioral difficulties in their children. These results are consistent with prior studies, showing that maternal mental health problems, self-criticism and worse family functioning are associated with behavioral and emotional problems in children (Agha et al., 2017; Beebe & Lachmann, 2017; Casalin et al., 2014; Fosco et al., 2019; Plass-Christl et al., 2017; Prioste et al., 2019; Weijers et al., 2018; Wiegand-Grefe et al., 2019). The associations between maternal self-criticism and child's social and emotional problems may be explained by several factors. First, high levels of parental self-criticism have been found to play a role in fostering negative affectivity in a child's early development stages through intergenerational transmission mechanisms (Casalin et al., 2014). Second, the associations between maternal self-criticism and maternal psychopathology might also partially explain our findings (e.g., Werner et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Lastly, the relationship of maternal mental health and self-regulation with children's socioemotional behaviors is complex and possibly bidirectional. Studies have found that children's behaviors may contribute to maternal psychopathology (Xerxa et al., 2021) or reduced levels of positive parenting that, in turn, increase children's externalizing problems (Serbin et al., 2015). Furthermore, worse family functioning was also associated with more maternal psychopathological symptoms and higher levels of self-criticism. These findings are in line with prior research, showing that parental mental illness is associated with higher levels of family dysfunctionality, which may, in turn, increase the probability of psychological problems in children (Agha et al., 2017; Wiegand-Grefe et al., 2019).

Conversely, we found negative associations between maternal self-compassion, better family functioning and children's social and emotional problems. Prior research has shown that parents who perceive more stable family functioning and are more self-compassionate display more adaptive parenting behaviors (care and support), respond to children's needs more adaptively (Gouveia et al., 2016) and provide them more opportunities for emotional and behavioral growth (Moreira et al., 2015).

With regard to our second aim, our findings support most of our second hypothesis being consistent with prior research, showing that mothers identified more behavioral difficulties in boys (Machado & Diogo, 2017) and more prosocial behaviors in older age groups, reflecting possibly the advances in physical, cognitive, and relational development (Eisenberg & Shell, 1986; Van der Graaff et al., 2018). Despite the differences in groups' sizes, mothers reported that children who had early behavior problems had more difficulties with peers. This supports the idea that behavior problems are stable over time (Eisner & Malti, 2015). Although there are variations and child characteristics (e.g., irritability) are also important (Campos et al., 1989), the developmental psychopathology approach establishes that behavior problems often emerge in childhood and are exacerbated by the quality of early parent-child relationships (Fosco et al., 2019; Patterson et al., 1989).

However, our study did not find differences in child SEB, according to family structure. Studies have found that parental separation (e.g., Friesen et al., 2017) or the transition to a reconstituted family (e.g., Anderson & Greene, 2013; Costa & Dias, 2012; Jensen & Shafer, 2013) may be perceived to impact negatively on children's social and emotional behaviors. However, the

negative impact of these family stressors on children's social and emotional appears to depend on other factors, such as the quality of parental relationships post-separation, the transition to a different family structure, changes of residence, school and family support network (Friesen et al., 2017). In fact, research has found that parents in nuclear families devote more time to their children than parents in single-parent and reconstituted families (Fallesen & Gähler, 2020) and that parental separation is associated with lower levels of parental sensitivity and emotional warmth/support, higher reactivity, and increased use of punishment (Friesen et al., 2017). Thus, family functioning seems to be more important than the family structure in predicting a child's social and emotional behavior (Lin et al., 2019; Simões et al., 2013).

With respect to maternal sociodemographic characteristics, our findings are consistent with studies showing that mother's reported higher income and education are associated with lower levels of children's depression, opposition problems and mental health problems (Ahn et al., 2019; Anselmi et al., 2010; Gan & Bilige, 2019; Sijtsema et al., 2014). More highly educated parents may have higher educational expectations for their children, stimulating them through play activities and affective behaviors (Drajea & Carmel, 2014).

In line with our hypothesis, maternal self-criticism was the main predictor of mothers' perceived child social and emotional difficulties. Neither maternal self-criticism nor self-compassion stood predicted mother's perceived child prosocial behavior. These results corroborate previous research showing the negative impact of maternal self-criticism (e.g., Wickersham et al., 2020) on children's behavior, whereas maternal self-compassion has been shown to contribute positively to children's behavior (Gouveia et al., 2016).

Limitations and future directions

Analyzing the mother's perspective on a child's behavior and family functioning and excluding the father's and child's perceptions may have hindered a more comprehensive view. Also, parental perceptions of their children's behavior and may not correspond to actual behaviors. The cross-sectional design does not allow causal inferences to be made. Although it is expected that its members take time to adapt, we did not evaluate the length of the family typology. Also, the difference in the groups' size limits the conclusions that can be drawn. Moreover, the results should be discussed considering children's ages. Finally, considering that the SDQ and the SCORE-15 are multidimensional measures, MANOVAs should be performed. However, results from MANOVA may be more ambiguous than ANOVA, and frequently MANOVA is less powerful than separate ANOVAs (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2014).

Despite these limitations, this study may have contributed to a better understanding of the relationships between family functioning, maternal psychological variables and mother's perceived child behaviors. Future research could address children's development over time concerning different family and contextual variables, include interviews to assess the perspective of all family members and children's perspective on the family and their behaviors, and collect more detailed data on child and parental characteristics (e.g., parents' personality, children's temperament, physical health) that may have an impact on parental behavior.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings emphasize that it is critical to prevent and treat maternal psychopathology, by promoting self-compassion and reducing self-criticism, and to make available

positive parenting programs that promote family skills, strengthen parents' competencies, respect children's best interests and enhance their healthy development (Kirby, 2017), especially for low-income and educated families. In fact, preventive intervention in families with parental mental illnesses leads to a reduction of 40% in the risk of psychological illness and symptoms in children and impedes mental illness transmission (Wiegand-Grefe et al., 2019). Additional support from parental counseling services (Nowak & Heinrichs, 2008) may contribute to promote parental mental health and parenting skills, by integrating mindfulness-focused approaches or compassion-focused therapy as effective interventions (McKee et al., 2017). This investment in maternal mental health might not only directly contribute to children's healthier developmental trajectories, but also have a predictable repercussion in economic terms, by reducing the demand for health care services.

Funding

This research didn't have fundings.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: SC-S; Methodology: SC-S, HE-S; Formal analysis: HE-S; Investigation: SC-S, FI; Data curation: SC-S, FI; Writing – Original draft preparation: SC-S, FI; Writing – Review and editing: SC-S, HE-S; Supervision: SC-S.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Agha, S., Zammit, S., Thapar, A., & Langley, K. (2017). Maternal psychopathology and offspring clinical outcome: A four-year follow-up of boys with ADHD. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 26*(2), 253-262. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-016-0873-y>
- Ahn, K. O., Kim, J., Shin, S. D., Park, H., Vaca, F. E., & Park, J. O. (2019). The influence of behavioural and socioeconomic factors on the community injury rates of adolescents assessed by the south Korean emergency medical services: An ecological approach. *BMC Public Health, 19*, 830. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7190-6>
- Anderson, E. R., & Greene, S. M. (2013). Beyond divorce: Research on children in repartnered and remarried families. *Family Court Review, 51*(1), 119-130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fcre.12013>
- Anselmi, L., Meneses, A. M. B., Barros, F. C., Hallal, P. C., Araújo, C. L., Dominguez, M. R., & Rohde, L. A. (2010). Early determinants of attention and hyperactivity problems in adolescents: The 11-year follow-up of the 1993 Pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública, 26*, 1954-1962. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2010001000012>
- Beebe, B., & Lachmann, F. (2017). Maternal self-critical and dependent personality styles and mother-infant communication. *Journal of American Psychoanalytic Association, 65*(3), 491-508. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003065117709004>

- Berryhill, M. B., Harless, C., & Kean, P. (2018). College student cohesive-flexible family functioning and mental health: Examining gender differences and the mediation effects of positive family communication and self-compassion. *The Family Journal*, 26(4), 422-432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480718807411>
- Campos, J. J., Campos, R. G., & Barrett, K. C. (1989). Emergent themes in the study of emotional development and emotion regulation. *Developmental Psychology*, 25(3), 394-402. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.25.3.394>
- Canavarro, M. C. (1999). Inventário de Sintomas Psicopatológicos: BSI. In M. R. Simões, M. Gonçalves, & L. S. Almeida (Eds.), *Testes e provas psicológicas em Portugal* (vol. II, pp. 87-109). SHO/APPORT.
- Carbonneau, N., Goodman, L. C., Roberts, L. T., Bégin, C., Lussier, Y., & Musher-Eizenman, D. R. (2020). A look at the intergenerational associations between self-compassion, body esteem, and emotional eating within dyads of mothers and their adult daughters. *Body Image*, 33, 106-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2020.02.007>
- Carvalho, J. L., Relva, I. C., & Fernandes, O. M. (2018). Family functioning and conflict resolution strategies in fraternal context. *Análise Psicológica*, 36(1), 61-73. <https://doi.org/10.14417/ap.1354>
- Casalin, S., Luyten, P., Besser, A., Sofie, W., & Vliegen, N. (2014). A longitudinal cross-lagged study of the role of parental self-criticism, dependency, depression, and parenting stress in the development of child negative affectivity. *Self and Identity*, 13(4), 491-511. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2013.873076>
- Castilho, P., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2011a). Auto-compaixão: Estudo da validação da versão portuguesa da Escala da Auto-compaixão e da sua relação com as experiências adversas na infância, a comparação social e a psicopatologia. *Psychologica*, 54, 203-231. https://doi.org/10.14195/1647-8606_54_8
- Castilho, P., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2011b). Auto-Criticismo: Estudo da validação da versão portuguesa da Escala das Formas do Auto-Criticismo e Auto-Tranquilização (FSCRS) e da Escala das Funções do Auto-Criticismo e Auto-Ataque (FSCS). *Psychologica*, 54, 63-86. https://doi.org/10.14195/1647-8606_54_3
- Castilho, P., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Duarte, J. (2015). Exploring self-criticism: Confirmatory factor analysis of the FSCRS in clinical and nonclinical samples. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 22, 153-164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.1881>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Conceição, A., & Carvalho, M. (2013). Problemas emocionais e comportamentais em jovens: Relações com o temperamento, as estratégias de *coping* e de regulação emocional e a identificação de expressões faciais. *Psychologica*, 56, 83-100. https://doi.org/10.14195/1647-8606_56_5
- Costa, J. M., & Dias, C. M. S. D. (2012). Famílias recasadas: Mudanças, desafios e potencialidades. *Psicologia: Teoria e Prática*, 14(3), 72-87. <http://pepsic.bvsalud.org/pdf/ptp/v14n3/v14n3a06.pdf>
- Delgado, A., & Wall, K. (Eds.). (2014). *Familias nos censos 2011: Diversidade e mudança*. Instituto Nacional de Estatística / Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.
- Derogatis, L. R., & Melisaratos, N. (1983). The Brief Symptom Inventory: An introductory report. *Psychological Medicine*, 13(3), 595-605. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700048017>
- Drajea, A., & Carmel, O. S. (2014). Influence of parental education and family income on children's education in rural Uganda. *Global Education Review*, 1(3), 149-166. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1055201.pdf>
- Eisenberg, N., & Shell, R. (1986). Prosocial moral judgment and behavior in children: The mediating role of cost. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 12(4), 426-433. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167286124005>
- Eisner, M. P., & Malti, T. (2015). The development of aggressive behavior and violence. In R. Lerner (Series Ed.) & M. E. Lamb (Vol. Ed.), *The handbook of child psychology and developmental science: Vol. 3. Social and emotional development* (7th ed., pp. 794-841). Wiley.

- Erskine, H. E., Baxter, A. J., Patton, G., Moffitt, T. E., Patel, V., Whiteford, H. A., & Scott, J. G. (2016). The global coverage of prevalence data for mental disorders in children and adolescents. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 26(4), 395-402. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796015001158>
- Espírito-Santo, H., & Daniel, F. (2018). Calcular e apresentar tamanhos do efeito em trabalhos científicos (3): Guia para reportar os tamanhos do efeito para análises de regressão e ANOVAS. *Revista Portuguesa de Investigação Comportamental e Social*, 4(1), 43-60. <https://doi.org/10.31211/rpics.2018.4.1.72>
- Fallesen, P., & Gähler, M. (2020). Family type and parents' time with children: Longitudinal evidence for Denmark. *Acta Sociologica*, 63(4), 361-380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001699319868522>
- Fleitlich, B., Loureiro, M., Fonseca, A., & Gaspar, F. (2005). *Questionário de Capacidades e Dificuldades (SDQ-Por)* [Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, Portuguese version]. <https://www.sdqinfo.org>
- Fosco, G. M., Mak, H. W., Ramos, A., LoBraico, E., & Lippold, M. (2019). Exploring the promise of assessing dynamic characteristics of the family for predicting adolescent risk outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 60(8), 848-856. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13052>
- Friesen, M. D., Horwood, L. J., Fergusson, D. M., & Woodward, L. J. (2017). Exposure to parental separation in childhood and later parenting quality as an adult: Evidence from a 30-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 58(1), 30-37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12610>
- Gan, Y., & Bilige, S. (2019). Parental involvement in home-based education and children's academic achievement in China. *Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal*, 47(12), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.8491>
- Gilbert, P., Clarke, M., Hempel, S., Miles, J. N. V., & Irons, C. (2004). Criticizing and reassuring oneself: An exploration of forms, styles and reasons in female students. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 43, 31-50. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466504772812959>
- Goergen, S. L., Bolten, M., Schröder, M., Schmid, M., & Stadler, C. (2018). Maternal health problems such as depression and anxiety and parent-child interaction. *Archives of Depression and Anxiety*, 4(2), 34-39. <https://doi.org/10.17352/2455-5460.000032>
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 38, 581-586.
- Goodman, S. H., & Gotlib, I. H. (1999). Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: A developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. *Psychological Review*, 106(3), 458-490. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.106.3.458>
- Gouveia, M. J., Carona, C., Canavarro, M. C., & Moreira, H. (2016). Self-compassion and dispositional mindfulness are associated with parenting styles and parenting stress: The mediating role of mindful parenting. *Mindfulness*, 7(3), 700-712. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0507-y>
- Jensen, T. M., & Shafer, K. (2013). (Step)parenting attitudes and expectations: Implications for stepfamily functioning and clinical intervention. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Human Services*, 95, 213-220. <https://doi.org/10.1606/1044-3894.2014.95.27>
- Kirby, J. N., Tellegen, C. L., & Steindl, S. R. (2017). A meta-analysis of compassion-based interventions: Current state of knowledge and future directions. *Behaviour Therapy*, 48(6), 778-792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2017.06.003>
- Lee, D., & McLanahan, S. (2015). Family structure transitions and child development: Instability, selection, and population heterogeneity. *American Sociological Review*, 80(4), 738-763. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122415592129>
- Lin, Y.-C., Washington-Nortey, P.-M., Hill, O. W., & Serpell, Z. N. (2019). Family functioning and not family structure predicts adolescents' reasoning and math skills. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 28(10), 2700-2707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-019-01450-4>

- Machado, T. S., & Diogo, C. (2017). Problemas de externalização e internalização em pré-adolescentes e vinculação aos pais. *Revista de Estudios e Investigación en Psicología y Educación*, 4(1), 42-51. <https://doi.org/10.17979/reipe.2017.4.1.1553>
- McKee, L. G., Parent, J., Zachary, C. R., & Forehand, R. (2017). Mindful parenting and emotion socialization practices: Concurrent and longitudinal associations. *Family Process*, 57(3), 752-766. <https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12329>
- Minuchin, S. (1979). *Familias en terapia*. J. P. Delarge.
- Moreira, H., Gouveia, M. J., Carona, C., Silva, N., & Canavarro, M. C. (2015). Maternal attachment and children's quality of life: The mediating role of self-compassion and parenting stress. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 24(8), 2332-2344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-014-0036-z>
- Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 2, 223-250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309027>
- Neff, K. D., & Davidson, O. (2016). Self-compassion: Embracing suffering with kindness. In I. Ivztan & T. Lomas (Eds.), *Mindfulness in positive psychology* (pp. 37-50). Routledge.
- Neff, K. D., & McGehee, P. (2010). Self-compassion and psychological resilience among adolescents and young adults. *Self and Identity*, 9, 225-240. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21923>
- Nowak, C., & Heinrichs, N. (2008). A comprehensive meta-analysis of Triple P – Positive Parenting Program using hierarchical linear modeling: Effectiveness and moderating variables. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 11, 114-144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-008-0033-0>
- Patterson, G. R., DeBaryshe, B. D., & Ramsey, E. (1989). A developmental perspective on antisocial behavior. *American Psychologist*, 44(2), 329-335. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.2.329>
- Plass-Christl, A., Haller, A. C., Otto, C., Barkmann, C., Wiegand-Grefe, S., Hölling, H., Schulte-Markwort, M., Ravens-Sieberer, U., & Klasen, F. (2017). Parents with mental health problems and their children in a German population-based sample: Results of the BELLA study. *PLoS one*, 12(7), e0180410. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180410>
- Polanczyk, G. V., Salum, G. A., Sugaya, L. S., Caye, A., & Rohde, L. A. (2015). Annual research review: A meta-analysis of the worldwide prevalence of mental disorders in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 56(3), 345-365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12381>
- Prioste, A., Tavares, P., & Magalhães, E. (2019). Tipologias de funcionamento familiar: Do desenvolvimento identitário à perturbação emocional na adolescência e adultez emergente. *Análise Psicológica*, 37(2), 173-192. <https://doi.org/10.14417/ap.1534>
- Serbin, L., Kingdon, D., Ruttler, P., & Stack, D. (2015). The impact of children's internalizing and externalizing problems on parenting: Transactional processes and reciprocal change over time. *Development and Psychopathology*, 27(4pt1), 969-986. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579415000632>
- Shelton, K. H., & Harold, G. T. (2008). Interparental conflict, negative parenting, and children's adjustment: Bridging links between parents' depression and children's psychological distress. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(5), 712-724. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013515>
- Sijtsema, J., Oldehinkel, A., Veenstra, R., Verhulst, F., & Ormel, J. (2014). Effects of structural and dynamic family characteristics on the development of depressive and aggressive problems during adolescence. The TRAILS study. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 23(6), 499-513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-013-0474-y>
- Simões, S., Farate, C., Soares, I., & Duarte, J. (2013). Predição do apego de crianças em função do estilo educativo materno e do tipo de família. *Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica*, 26(1), 168-176. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-79722013000100018>

- Smedje, H., Broman, J.-E., Hetta, J., & von Knorring, A.-L. (1999). Psychometric properties of a Swedish version of the “Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire”. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 8(2), 63-70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s007870050086>
- Smock, P. J., & Schwartz, C. R. (2020). The demography of families: A review of patterns and change. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 82, 9-34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12612>
- Stratton, P., Bland, J., Janes, E., & Lask, J. (2010). Developing an indicator of family function and a practicable outcome measure for systemic therapy and couple therapy. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 32, 232-258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6427.2010.00507.x>
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2014). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Van der Graaff, J., Carlo, G., Crocetti, E., Koot, H. M., & Branje, S. (2018). Prosocial behavior in adolescence: Gender differences in development and links with empathy. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 47(5), 1086-1099. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-017-0786-1>
- Vilaça, M., Silva, J. T., & Relvas, A. P. (2014). *Systemic clinical outcome routine evaluation: SCORE-15*. Universidade de Coimbra.
- Weijers, D., Steensel, F. J. A., & Bögels, S. M. (2018). Associations between psychopathology in mothers, fathers and their children: A structural modeling approach. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 27(6), 1992-2003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-018-1024-5>
- Werner, A. M., Tibubos, A. N., Rohrmann, S., & Reiss, N. (2019). The clinical trait self-criticism and its relation to psychopathology: A systematic review – Update. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 246, 530-547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAD.2018.12.069>
- Wickersham, A., Leightley, D., Archer, M., & Fear, N. T. (2020). The association between paternal psychopathology and adolescent depression and anxiety: A systematic review. *Journal of Adolescence*, 79, 232-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2020.01.007>
- Wiegand-Grefe, S., Sell, M., Filter, B., & Plass-Christl, A. (2019). Family functioning and psychological health of children with mentally ill parents. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(7), 1278, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16071278>
- Xerxa, Y., Rescorla, L. A., van der Ende, J., Hilleegers, M. H. J., Verhulst, F. C., & Tiemeier, H. (2021). From parent to child to parent: Associations between parent and offspring psychopathology. *Child Development*, 92(1), 291-307. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13402>
- Zhang, H., Watson-Singleton, N. N., Pollard, S. E., Pittman, D. M., Lamis, D. A., Fischer, N. L., Patterson, B., & Kaslow, N. J. (2019). Self-criticism and depressive symptoms: Mediating role of self-compassion. *OMEGA – Journal of Death and Dying*, 80(2), 202-223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0030222817729609>

Comportamento social e emocional dos filhos: Papel da regulação emocional materna, da sintomatologia psicopatológica materna e do funcionamento familiar

Resumo: A investigação demonstra que as estratégias de regulação emocional maternas (autocrítica e autocompaixão), saúde mental e funcionamento familiar têm impacto no funcionamento da criança e do adolescente. Devido à escassez de estudos, temos como objetivos analisar: (1) as associações entre estratégias de regulação emocional materna, sintomatologia psicopatológica, funcionamento familiar, e a percepção materna do comportamento social e emocional dos filhos ; (2) as diferenças na percepção materna do comportamento social e emocional dos filhos consoante as características da mãe, dos filhos e da família; (3) o papel preditivo da regulação emocional materna na percepção materna do comportamento social e emocional dos filhos. Uma amostra de 431 mães (25-59 anos), com filhos de 4-17 anos (55,5% do sexo masculino), respondeu ao *Questionário de Capacidades e Dificuldades*, *Systemic Clinical Outcome Routine Evaluation (SCORE-15)*, *Inventário de Sintomas Psicopatológicos*,

Escala das Formas do Autocriticismo e Autotranquilização e Escala de Autocompaixão. Os resultados mostraram associações positivas entre o autocriticismo, a sintomatologia psicopatológica materna, pior funcionamento familiar e problemas sociais e emocionais dos filhos, e entre autocompaixão materna e comportamento prossocial dos filhos. Mães que relataram baixos rendimentos, educação escolar básica, história de doença psiquiátrica e cujos filhos tiveram alterações no comportamento precoce perceberam mais dificuldades sociais e emocionais nos seus filhos. O autocriticismo materno foi o principal preditor das dificuldades sociais e emocionais das crianças e adolescentes. Em conclusão, as estratégias de regulação emocional materna e a sintomatologia psicopatológica estão associadas ao funcionamento familiar e ao comportamento social e emocional dos filhos.

Palavras-chave: Comportamento social e emocional dos filhos; Capacidades e dificuldades; Mães; Funcionamento familiar; Saúde mental; Autocompaixão; Autocriticismo.

Submitted: 22/06/2021

Accepted: 26/01/2022